



Western Riverside Council of Governments Technical Advisory Committee

AGENDA

**Thursday, October 21, 2021
9:30 AM**

**Western Riverside Council of Governments
3390 University Avenue, Suite 200
Riverside, CA 92501**

WRCOG'S OFFICE IS CURRENTLY CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC DUE TO COVID-19

[Join Zoom Meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 817 3285 9607

Password: 102121

Dial in: (669) 900 9128 U.S.

SPECIAL NOTICE – COVID-19 RELATED PROCEDURES IN EFFECT

Due to the State and local recommendations for social distancing resulting from the threat of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19), this meeting is being held via Zoom under Assembly Bill 361 (Government Code Section 54953) (AB 361). Pursuant to AB 361, WRCOG does not need to make a physical location available for members of the public to observe a public meeting and offer public comment. AB 361 allows WRCOG to hold Committee meetings via teleconferencing or other electronic means and allows for members of the public to observe and address the committee telephonically or electronically.

In addition to commenting at the Committee meeting, members of the public may also submit written comments before or during the meeting, prior to the close of public comment to snelson@wrcog.us.

Any member of the public requiring a reasonable accommodation to participate in this meeting in light of this announcement shall contact Suzy Nelson 72 hours prior to the meeting at (951) 405-6703 or snelson@wrcog.us. Later requests accommodated to the extent feasible.

The Committee may take any action on any item listed on the agenda, regardless of the Requested Action.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER (Jeff, Van Wagenen, Chair)**
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

3. ROLL CALL

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

At this time members of the public can address the Committee regarding any items within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee that are not separately listed on this agenda. Members of the public will have an opportunity to speak on agenda items at the time the item is called for discussion. No action may be taken on items not listed on the agenda unless authorized by law. Whenever possible, lengthy testimony should be presented to the Committee in writing and only pertinent points presented orally.

5. CONSENT CALENDAR

All items listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and may be enacted by one motion. Prior to the motion to consider any action by the Committee, any public comments on any of the Consent Items will be heard. There will be no separate action unless members of the Committee request specific items be removed from the Consent Calendar.

A. Summary Minutes from the September 16, 2021, Technical Advisory Committee Meeting

Requested Action(s): 1. Approve the Summary Minutes from the September 16, 2021, Technical Advisory Committee meeting.

B. SCAG Activities Update

Requested Action(s): 1. Receive and file.

C. Finance Department Activities Update

Requested Action(s): 1. Receive and file.

D. ICMA Activities Update

Requested Action(s): 1. Receive and file.

6. REPORTS / DISCUSSION

Members of the public will have an opportunity to speak on agenda items at the time the item is called for discussion.

A. Cal Cities Activities Update

Requested Action(s): 1. Receive and file.

B. West Virginia University Vocation Integrated Cost Estimation for Maintenance and Repair of Alternative Fuel Vehicles

Requested Action(s): 1. Receive and file.

C. The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 Funding Update

Requested Action(s): 1. Receive and file.

7. REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Dr. Kurt Wilson

8. ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS ~ Members

Members are invited to suggest additional items to be brought forward for discussion at future Executive Committee meetings.

9. GENERAL ANNOUNCEMENTS ~ Members

Members are invited to announce items / activities which may be of general interest to the Executive Committee.

10. NEXT MEETING

The next Technical Advisory Committee meeting is scheduled for Thursday, November 18, 2021, at 9:30 a.m., virtually via Zoom.

11. ADJOURNMENT

Technical Advisory Committee

Minutes

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting of the WRCOG Technical Advisory Committee was called to order by Chair Jeff Van Wagenen at 9:31 a.m. on September 16, 2021, on the Zoom platform.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Van Wagenen led members and guests in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. ROLL CALL

- City of Banning - Doug Schulze
- City of Calimesa - Bonnie Johnson
- City of Canyon Lake - Chris Mann
- City of Corona - Jacob Ellis
- City of Eastvale - Bryan Jones*
- City of Hemet - Chris Lopez
- City of Jurupa Valley - Rod Butler*
- City of Lake Elsinore - Remon Habib
- City of Menifee - Armando Villa
- City of Moreno Valley - Mike Lee
- City of Murrieta - Louie Lacasella
- City of Norco - Andy Okoro
- City of Perris - Clara Miramontes
- City of Riverside - Al Zelinka
- City of San Jacinto - Rob Johnson
- City of Temecula - Betsy Lowrey
- City of Wildomar - Gary Nordquist
- County of Riverside - Jeff Van Wagenen (Chair)
- Eastern Municipal Water District (EMWD) - Joe Mouawad
- Western Municipal Water District (WMWD) - Craig Miller
- March Joint Powers Authority (JPA) - Dr. Grace Martin

*Arrived after roll call

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

5. CONSENT CALENDAR – (San Jacinto / Banning) 18 yes; 0 no; 1 abstention. Items 5.A through 5.D were approved. The March JPA Abstained.

A. Summary Minutes from the August 19, 2021, Technical Advisory Committee Meeting

Action:

1. Approved the Summary Minutes from the August 19, 2021, Technical Advisory Committee meeting.

B. Finance Department Activities Update

Action:

1. Received and filed.

C. SCAG Activities Update

Action:

1. Received and filed.

D. Single Signature Authority Report

Action:

1. Received and filed.

6. REPORTS / DISCUSSION

A. Cal Cities Activities Update

Erin Sasse, Regional Public Affairs Manager with Cal Cities, reported that the legislative session has ended and the Governor has until October 10, 2021, to sign or veto any bills.

SB 9 (Atkins. Housing development: approvals.) would allow for lot splits and duplexes; this Bill is on the Governor's desk and Cal Cities is asking this Bill to be vetoed.

AB 215 (Chiu. Planning and Zoning Law: housing element: violations.) would require a mid-session housing element consultation with the California Department of Housing and Community (HCD) for cities that fell below the regional average. This Bill was amended at the last minute to remove the mid-cycle housing process, but it did expand the HCD's authority and enforcement. Cal Cities has not seen many letters of opposition from the cities and is asking for cities to send in letters requesting the Governor veto this Bill.

AB 989 (as amended, Gabriel. Housing Accountability Act: appeals: Office of Housing Appeals.) died at the end of the session but will likely return next year.

Cal Cities is encouraging local jurisdictions send in veto letters regarding SB 556 (odd. Street light poles, traffic signal poles: small wireless facilities attachments.), and will be providing sample letters soon.

SB 619 (Laird. Organic waste: reduction regulations: local jurisdiction compliance.) will allow for those jurisdictions working with CalRecycle, providing a status of implementation, an extension of penalties regarding implementation requirements of SB 1383.

Cal Cities supports SB 60 (Glazer. Residential short-term rental ordinances: health or safety infractions: maximum fines.), which would allow cities and counties to impose larger fines for violations in short-term rentals.

AB 361 (Robert Rivas. Open meetings: state and local agencies: teleconferences.) has passed through the Legislature, and would allow for the continuation of virtual meetings. However, cities and counties would have to adhere to the legislation rules, but the State would follow the Governor's Executive Order. Continued conversation regarding Brown Act reform is anticipated.

Cal Cities' annual conference is being held next week.

Action:

1. Received and filed.

B. Energy Department Activities Update

Daniel Soltero, WRCOG Program Manager, reported that together, WRCOG and Michael Baker International (MBI) are developing a Smart Streetlights Implementation Plan to identify opportunities for member agencies and participants of the Regional Streetlight Program to utilize streetlights as smart city assets.

A Community Assessment survey has been completed, which evaluates community readiness to start implementing smart technologies or infrastructure on streetlights. The survey, completed by 12 of 20 WRCOG member agencies, showed that approximately 60% of respondents already provide WiFi at government buildings and most have completed an LED streetlight retrofit with plans to convert more. Additionally, the survey also showed that most respondents do not have a policy regarding data collection and use, and that maintenance is completed both in-house as well as being contracted out.

The Peer Agency Review is complete, which analyzed how other public agencies deployed smart streetlight solutions. Online research was conducted and phone interviews completed with staff from the Cities of Atlanta, Columbus, Detroit, Kansas City, Las Vegas, Los Angeles, and San Diego. Key findings from this review include identifying program parameters and metrics, and establishing a business model so the technologies pay for themselves or recognize the expense to solve an identified issue or need.

Staff is coordinating stakeholder meetings with member agencies to discuss interest towards smart city technologies and broadband. To date, public safety appears to be the most important street light application, followed by mobility, and then economic applications. Upon completion of these meetings staff will initiate researching procurement strategies to help identify opportunities for regional procurement.

In 2016, the Streetlight Program, in coordination with Bank of America, developed and provided a financing framework that assisted eight agencies in the streetlight acquisition and LED retrofit project. In July 2021, staff received several inquiries from agencies regarding refinancing opportunities due to the low interest rate environment.

As of September 15, 2021, three member agencies have refinanced their streetlight lease agreements resulting in significant savings over the term of the loans. Staff will be coordinating with remaining

agencies and Bank of America to explore refinancing opportunities.

Action:

1. Received and filed.

C. TUMF Program Nexus Study Update

Chris Gray, WRCOG Deputy Executive Director, reported that staff are requesting a recommendation to prepare an update to the TUMF Nexus Study. While WRCOG manages the Program, many of the Program decisions are made by WRCOG's member agencies and partners.

TUMF provides infrastructure that serves the subregion. Some parts of the subregion are growing differently in terms of scale and type of development. Many areas of the subregion are faced with transportation facilities that are 20 to 40 years old and cannot accommodate projected growth. Additionally, over the last decade the State has enacted a number of policies and legislation designed to address issues such as greenhouse gas emissions and Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). Local government has a responsibility to provide basic infrastructure for existing and future residents, and that is what the TUMF Program does.

The five reasons to update the Study include the idea that regular updates for fee studies are best practice, updated growth forecasts for the WRCOG subregion, changes in travel behavior, the ability to update the TUMF project list, and the ability to add new types of projects. The update will take approximately 12 - 18 months to complete and will cost approximately \$200,000.

Staff is recommending the addition of ITS-type projects as an eligible project type if the TUMF Nexus Study is updated. Staff is also recommending that WRCOG undertake two additional activities. First, WRCOG would like to formally work on a regional VMT Mitigation Program with RCTC and RTA to address the need for projects to mitigate its impacts under SB 743. Second, WRCOG would also like to prepare an update of the Fee Comparison Study which was last updated in 2019.

The Cities of Banning and Calimesa expressed hesitation in making changes to the TUMF Program at this time.

Mr. Gray responded that the sole change to the Program would be to add a different type of category - ITS projects - with the ability to swap projects.

Staff was asked to bring back an item regarding regional travel and how it impacts local infrastructure.

Actions:

Recommended that the Executive Committee:

1. Direct staff to begin work on a TUMF Nexus Study update.
2. Direct staff to update the TUMF Administrative Plan to expand the TUMF-eligible project list to include Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) projects.
3. Direct staff to work with the Riverside County Transportation Commission and Riverside Transit Agency to evaluate options to mitigate VMT impacts from new development outside of the TUMF Nexus Study update.
4. Direct staff to begin work on an update of the Analysis of Development Impact Fees in Western

Riverside County.

(San Jacinto / Lake Elsinore) 15 yes; 1 no; 0 abstention. Item 6.C was approved. The City of Calimesa voted no. The Cities of Banning and Moreno Valley did not respond. The Water Districts do not vote on TUMF matters.

D. Activities Update from the Eastern Municipal Water District / Western Municipal Water District

Joe Mouawad, EMWD, and Craig Miller, WMWD, provided an activities update from both water districts that included an update on California's drought emergency.

The State, in various levels throughout, is in its second year of a drought. As of July 8, 2021, 50 counties in California declared a drought emergency; however, none of those counties are located within Southern California. Collectively, through the Metropolitan Water District (MWD), significant investments in water storage have been made over the past few decades. This has allowed the Southern California region to weather the drought conditions, with MWD having over 3 million-acre feet of emergency storage.

The State Water Project allocation for 2021 to this region is at 5%, and next years' allocation does not appear to be any higher. The Colorado River water levels are very low and will create impacts to agriculture and hydroelectric energy production.

Of the six large reservoirs throughout the state, two are located in southern California. The two reservoirs located in this region are at an upper 70% storage, while the others are in the 20% range down to 14%.

Both water districts have undertaken a regional messaging outreach campaign that aligns with local water conditions and compliments agency programs. Both water districts continue to run water use efficiency programs. The water districts have also invited local government partners to support the effort.

Action:

1. Received and filed.

7. REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Dr. Kurt Wilson reported that an ad hoc committee of elected officials is reviewing WRCOG's Bylaws and Joint Powers Agreement. Within the next couple weeks, a survey will be distributed asking for your feedback on WRCOG. Dr. Wilson is seeking opportunities for WRCOG staff training within any training programs member agencies may have. Lastly, WRCOG oversees the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency, and that agency is very close to having the Stephens' Kangaroo Rat down listed from Endangered to Threatened.

8. ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS

There were no items for future agendas.

9. GENERAL ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no general announcements.

10. NEXT MEETING

The next Technical Advisory Committee meeting is scheduled for Thursday, October 21, 2021, at 9:30 a.m., on the Zoom platform.

11. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee adjourned at 11:11 a.m.



Western Riverside Council of Governments Technical Advisory Committee

Staff Report

Subject: SCAG Activities Update
Contact: Arnold San Miguel, Regional Affairs Officer, Southern California Association of Governments, sanmigue@scag.ca.gov, (213) 453-6594
Date: October 21, 2021

Requested Action(s):

1. Receive and file.
-

Purpose:

The purpose of this item is to provide an update by staff at the Southern California Associations of Governments (SCAG).

Background:

Founded in 1965, SCAG is a Joint Powers Authority under California state law, established as an association of local governments and agencies that voluntarily convene as a forum to address regional issues. Under federal law, SCAG is designated as a Metropolitan Planning Organization and under state law as a Regional Transportation Planning Agency and a Council of Governments.

Update

The Spotlight, a SCAG Regional Council Newsletter, is attached to this Staff Report.

Prior Action(s):

None.

Fiscal Impact:

This item is for informational purposes only; therefore, there is no fiscal impact.

Attachment(s):

[Attachment 1 - SCAG Spotlight](#)

SPOTLIGHT

SCAG REGIONAL COUNCIL NEWSLETTER



ABOUT

The Regional Council is the governing board of the Southern California Association of Governments and consists of 86 elected officials representing 191 cities, six counties, county transportation commissions, transportation corridor agencies, tribal governments and air districts in Southern California.

AGENDA

[Click here](#) for current and archived Regional Council meeting agendas.

HIGHLIGHTS FROM THE MEETING



On Sept. 28, Regional Council and Policy Committee members toured the Omnitrans San Bernardino facility. Read more about the tour below.

ACTION **SOCAL GREENPRINT PUBLIC HEARING**

The Regional Council today voted to continue the pause on implementation of the [SoCal Greenprint](#) to allow for further engagement with stakeholders to ensure the tool advances the policy direction and requirements of the mitigation measure approved by the board in the Program Environmental Impact Report and related Addendum No. 1 for Connect SoCal, the 2020 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy.

The SoCal Greenprint is intended to be a web-based mapping tool that compiles more than 100 existing and publicly available data sources into interactive maps to help stakeholders in the SCAG region visualize how to better integrate nature into future growth and development.

Following direction at the July Regional Council meeting, SCAG hosted public hearings on Aug. 24 and Oct. 7 to hear from stakeholders and the public and provide more information on the project. SCAG also released a survey to seek feedback on the proposed data list that will be used to create the tool's interactive maps.

WHAT'S NEXT

SCAG will now work to meet the direction of the Regional Council including developing a white paper and working with a five-member advisory task group to establish a policy framework for advanced mitigation, conducting an open advisory meeting for further review and revision of data layers, completing user testing with stakeholders to ensure the tool meets the needs of cities, counties and transportation agencies, and engaging in continued public outreach.

SCAG will return to the Regional Council and Energy and Environment Committee once prospective user testing is complete to demonstrate the tool, provide a report on the white paper and a proposed policy framework for advanced mitigation, seek feedback prior to public launch and to remove the pause.

ACTION
REGIONAL COUNCIL APPROVES GUIDELINES FOR TWO SCAG PROGRAMS

The Regional Council today approved the Subregional Sustainable Communities Strategy Framework and Guidelines and the 2023 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) Guidelines.

SUBREGIONAL SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGIES FRAMEWORK AND GUIDELINES

SCAG has developed the Subregional Sustainable Communities Strategy Framework and Guidelines to outline the process for the development of a subregional Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) by the councils of governments in the SCAG region and the incorporation of that SCS into Connect SoCal, the 2024 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy. SCAG will send the approved framework and guidelines to each of the councils of governments in the SCAG region, with instructions on how to exercise their option to develop their own subregional SCS. Subregional councils of governments will have until Oct. 29 to communicate their intent to SCAG. [Read the approved Subregional Sustainable Communities Strategy Framework and Guidelines here.](#)

2023 FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

SCAG has developed the 2023 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) Guidelines which facilitate the work of the county transportation commissions, counties, transit operators and the State of California Department of Transportation in the development of the county Transportation Improvement Programs for inclusion in the 2023 FTIP.

The 2023 FTIP Guidelines have been updated in coordination with the county transportation commissions in the SCAG region to ensure that projects included in the plan meet all current legal, administrative, and technical requirements required to receive federal funding or federal approval. The guidelines reflect the latest federal and state statutes, including the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, and changes that reflect SCAG’s commitment to advancing justice, equity, diversity and inclusion. [Read the approved 2023 FTIP Guidelines here.](#)

INFO
SCAG REGIONAL PARTNERS DISCUSS BEST PRACTICES FOR ACCELERATING HOUSING PRODUCTION

In a panel presentation to the Community, Economic and Human Development Committee, Rick Bishop, the Co-Director of the Inland Center for Sustainable Development at the University of California, Riverside, Cecilia Estolano, Chief Executive Officer of Estolano Advisors, and Charly Ligety, Director of The Housing Innovation Collaborative, discussed policy recommendations and best

practices for increasing housing production and addressing homelessness in the SCAG region.

In a panel presentation to the Community, Economic and Human Development Committee, Rick Bishop, the Co-Director of the Inland Center for Sustainable Development at the University of California, Riverside, Cecilia Estolano, Chief Executive Officer of Estolano Advisors, and Charly Ligety, Director of The Housing Innovation Collaborative, discussed policy recommendations and best practices for increasing housing production and addressing homelessness in the SCAG region. [View a recording of the meeting, including the panel presentation, here.](#)

NEWS FROM THE PRESIDENT



REGIONAL COUNCIL & POLICY COMMITTEE MEMBERS TOUR OMNITRANS AND THE SAN BERNARDINO TRANSIT CENTER

On Monday, Sept. 27, several Regional Council and Policy Committee members, including President Clint Lorimore; Jon Dutrey, Chair of Omnitrans; David Avila, Past Chair of Omnitrans; Larry McCallon; and John Valdivia and Chad Schnitger, representing Supervisor Hagman, visited the Omnitrans San Bernardino facility and the San Bernardino Transit Center. The visit began with a briefing from the Omnitrans executive team and continued to a tour of the Omnitrans West Valley Facility and a bus ride in a new Omnitrans electric bus. The tour concluded at the San Bernardino Transit Center, highlighting the service connections provided by six transit operators, Metrolink, sbX Bus Rapid Transit, a transit center with public services and the site for a potential transit-oriented development project. [Read more about the tour and how Omnitrans is continuing to provide excellent service to riders here.](#)

EXECUTIVE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE RELEASES STRATEGIC WORK PLAN

On June 24, SCAG President Clint Lorimore convened the Executive Administration Committee (EAC) for a two-day work planning session. The session provided EAC members an opportunity to establish high-level work goals and priorities for integration into SCAG's Strategic Plan update and work planning for the year. Following the session, staff synthesized the goals and priorities into four categories of Regional Policy Development, Leadership in Resource Deployment, Legislative Action and Technology/Innovation Leadership and presented the associated staff work plans developed to address them at the September EAC meeting. As work in the goal and priority

areas continues, staff will provide quarterly updates to the EAC and Regional Council beginning in January 2022 and start the holistic update to the Strategic Plan in early 2022. [Read the full report in the October Regional Council agenda.](#)

NEWS FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR



REGISTER FOR THE 12TH ANNUAL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ECONOMIC SUMMIT

On Thursday, Dec. 2, SCAG will host the [12th annual Southern California Economic Summit](#) virtually, focusing on the theme **From Inclusive Recovery to Inclusive Growth**. While we had hoped to be able to convene in person, out of an abundance of caution we are pivoting to a virtual event model.

This summit will come at a time when the regional economy is still recovering from a time of unprecedented crisis. The program will feature the debut of new research and analysis on the state of the region’s economy, expert commentary on the issue of how to keep Southern California competitive, and a laundry list of funding programs and innovative approaches to kickstarting inclusive economic growth.

[Register today](#) to join civic and business leaders from across Southern California for this **vital conversation on how we can further our economic recovery and build strength and resilience** in a post-COVID world. Elected officials and city managers of SCAG’s member jurisdictions may attend for free. Learn more and register to attend at scag.ca.gov/economicsummit.

CELEBRATE PEDESTRIAN SAFETY MONTH BY TAKING THE GO HUMAN SAFETY PLEDGE

To celebrate Pedestrian Safety Month, SCAG’s *Go Human* campaign revamped and relaunched the [Go Human Safety Pledge](#) to reach more people and help them take meaningful action to improve traffic safety in their communities. Join a growing cohort of safety champions and practitioners across the region and gain access to a robust toolbox of resources to support safety strategy implementation. The Safety Pledge offers several ways to support safety in your community including pledging to sponsor a safety messaging campaign, deploying a temporary demonstration with the *Go Human* Kit of Parts, advocating for safety improvements using the High Injury Network, and much more. Make a commitment to safety by signing the pledge

and securing access to SCAG safety resources. [Sign the Safety Pledge here](#) and [view your commitment on the Safety Pledge Map](#).

SCAG TO HOST HOUSING POLICY FORUM

On **Monday, Nov. 1, from noon to 2 p.m.**, SCAG will host a Housing Policy Forum, which will be the first in series that will continue into Fall 2022. The first virtual forum, **A Rundown on Federal and State Legislation and Funding**, will provide an overview of the challenges, opportunities and trends in current federal and state housing legislation in Southern California. There will also be a discussion on the impacts of legislation on local housing policy, as well as an opportunity for participants to share local housing policy priorities. While the forum is free of charge, [registration is required to attend](#). Please visit the [Fall 2021 Housing Policy Forum webpage](#) for more information.

Read the full [Executive Director's Report](#) for October 2021 and see past reports on the SCAG website.

UPCOMING MEETINGS

OCTOBER

- 20th Audit Committee
- 24th Transportation Conformity Working Group
- 28th Emerging Technologies Committee

NOVEMBER

- 2nd Aviation Technical Advisory Committee
- 3rd Executive/Administration Committee
- 4th Regional Council and Policy Committees
- 16th Legislative/Communications & Membership Committee
- 23rd Transportation Conformity Working Group
- 24th Modeling Task Force



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF
GOVERNMENTS
900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 236-1800 | [scag.ca.gov](#)

COUNTY REGIONAL OFFICES
Imperial | Orange | Riverside | San Bernardino | Ventura
Copyright © 2021, SCAG

CONNECT WITH US



This email was sent to mcgrath@scag.ca.gov. [Unsubscribe](#)



Western Riverside Council of Governments Technical Advisory Committee

Staff Report

Subject: Finance Department Activities Update
Contact: Andrew Ruiz, Chief Financial Officer, aruiz@wrcog.us, (951) 405-6740
Date: October 21, 2021

Requested Action(s):

1. Receive and file.

Purpose:

The purpose of this item is to provide an update on the Agency Audit for Fiscal Year 2020/2021 and financials through July 2021.

Background:

Fiscal Year 2020/2021 Agency Audit

WRCOG's annual Agency audit is currently in progress. Staff anticipate the audit to be completed by November 2021 and the CAFR issued by December 2021. Staff will begin to present to its various committees in January 2022. WRCOG has received the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting for the past seven years and will be applying for the award once the audit has been completed.

Additionally, WRCOG will be submitting a Request for Proposals (RFP) for financial audit services. WRCOG has utilized the services of the audit firm Rogers, Anderson, Malody, and Scott (RAMS) for the past five years to conduct its financial audit.

Financial Report Summary Through July 2021

The Agency Financial Report summary through July 2021, a monthly overview of WRCOG's financial statements in the form of combined Agency revenues and costs, is provided as Attachment 1. These are preliminary numbers and have not yet been finalized for the fiscal year.

Prior Action(s):

October 13, 2021: The Administration & Finance Committee received and filed.

October 4, 2021: The Executive Committee received and filed.

Fiscal Impact:

This item is for informational purposes only; therefore, there is no fiscal impact.

Attachment(s):

[Attachment 1 - July 2021 Agency Financials](#)



Western Riverside Council of Governments

Budget to Actuals

For Month Ending July 31, 2021

	Approved Budget 6/30/2022	Actual Thru 7/31/2021	Remaining Budget 6/30/2022
Total Agency Budget			
Revenues			
Member Dues	286,640	286,640	-
Overhead Transfer In	2,000,000	166,667	1,833,333
TUMF Commercial	4,800,000	173,618	4,626,382
TUMF Retail	4,800,000	190,339	4,609,661
TUMF Industrial	7,680,000	402,312	7,277,688
TUMF Single Family	19,200,000	3,174,773	16,025,227
TUMF Multi Family	9,600,000	378,617	9,221,383
TUMF Commerical - Admin Fee	200,000	7,234	192,766
TUMF Retail - Admin Fee	200,000	7,931	192,069
TUMF Industrial - Admin Fee	320,000	16,763	303,237
TUMF Single Family - Admin Fee	800,000	132,282	667,718
TUMF Multi-Family - Admin	400,000	15,776	384,224
Grant Revenue	1,663,000	138,583	1,524,417
Clean Cities Revenue	240,000	151,000	89,000
Solid Waste Revenue	112,970	112,970	-
Used Oil Grants	168,023	168,023	-
Total Revenues	\$ 57,669,021	\$ 5,523,528	\$ 52,145,493
Expenses			
Salaries & Wages - Fulltime	2,745,899	276,239	2,469,660
Fringe Benefits	1,319,884	83,618	1,236,266
Overhead Allocation	1,682,458	140,205	1,542,253
General Legal Services	968,100	180,833	787,267
Commissioners Per Diem	57,500	5,050	52,450
Parking Cost	20,000	4,687	15,314
Office Lease	350,000	37,366	312,634
Fuel Expense	1,500	28	1,472
General Assembly Expense	300,000	58	299,942
Parking Validations	15,450	1,063	14,387
Staff Recognition	1,000	337	663
Coffee and Supplies	3,000	931	2,069
Event Support	95,737	12,560	83,177
Program/Office Supplies	13,700	7,090	6,610
Computer Equipment/Supplies	2,000	1,988	12
Computer Software	102,000	4,000	98,000
Membership Dues	31,750	70	31,680
Subscriptions/Publications	4,250	767	3,483
Postage	5,350	817	4,533
Other Household Expenses	3,250	253	2,997
Storage	5,000	1,058	3,942
Communications - Regular Phone	16,000	3,789	12,211
Communications - Cellular Phones	13,500	3,386	10,114
Communications - Computer Services	53,000	4,617	48,383
Insurance - Errors & Omissions	15,000	9,265	5,735
Insurance - Gen/Busi Liab/Auto	99,500	48,820	50,680
TUMF Project Reimbursement	46,080,000	247,425	45,832,575
Seminars/Conferences	9,650	45	9,605
Travel - Mileage Reimbursement	9,500	183	9,317
Travel - Airfare	4,250	350	3,900
Consulting Labor	2,924,616	373,554	2,551,062
Total Expenses	\$ 57,513,228	\$ 1,831,242	\$ 56,062,777



Western Riverside Council of Governments Technical Advisory Committee

Staff Report

Subject: ICMA Activities Update

Contact: A.J. Wilson, California Senior Advisor, International City / County Management Association, ajwcm@aol.com, (760) 723-8623

Date: October 21, 2021

Requested Action(s):

1. Receive and file.

Purpose:

The purpose of this item is to provide an update by staff from the International City / County Management Association (ICMA).

Background:

ICMA is the world's leading association of professional city and county managers and other employees who serve local governments. ICMA's vision is to be the leading association of local government professionals dedicated to creating and supporting thriving communities throughout the world. We do this by working with our more than 11,000 members to identify and speed the adoption of leading local government practices in order to improve the lives of residents. ICMA offers membership, professional development programs, research, publications, data and information, technical assistance, and training to thousands of city, town, and county chief administrative officers, their staffs, and other organizations throughout the world.

Annual Conference

The 2021 ICMA Annual Conference was conducted in person in October 2021 in Portland, Oregon, as well as being available in a virtual format; it was considered a remarkably effective learning experience and provided a wide-ranging variety of educational sessions with one major focus on starting again post-COVID. If you did not have the opportunity to participate you still have an opportunity to share the sessions in an ongoing virtual conference that will remain available through the end of the year. I suggest that you have your organization explore utilizing the learning tool. You already have access if you registered for the conference. Registration is still available by going to the ICMA website on the UNITE tab.

California Manager Named President of ICMA

Troy Brown, City Manager of Moorpark, California, was elected as President after serving as President Elect for 2020-2021. Mr. Brown assumed the position of President at the annual Conference.

Fortunately, ICMA continues to be led by California Managers, which ensures that our professional challenges are always on the ICMA agenda.

CAL-ICMA Confidential Support for Managers

For a whole set of obvious reasons there have been a number of City Managers and Manager's families confronting crisis. For that reason, the City Managers Foundation (CCMF), CALICMA, CALCITIES, and ICMA have established an effort of confidential support. Outreach and contacts are being coordinated by the Senior Advisors in each local area. It will be developed as an ongoing responsibility of the Manager Area Group. If you become aware of such stresses for your fellow Managers, please give me a call and I will see that professional support is made available.

CITY MANAGERS DEPARTMENT – CALCITIES

The Annual Business Meeting of the California City Managers Department was held Wednesday, September 22, 2021, at the CALCITIES annual meeting in Sacramento. At that session, John Gillison of Rancho Cucamonga was elected as President for 2021-2022.

2021 Annual Conference

The conference will be held in Monterey the first week of February 2022 and is currently planned for in-person attendance. The plans for the annual City Manager's Department meeting remains in February of 2022. The opportunity for early registration will open this month and will be limited to Managers to insure they are able to make hotel reservations. I would urge you to go to the CALCITIES website and register if you plan to attend.

Prior Action(s):

None.

Fiscal Impact:

This item is for informational purposes only; therefore, there is no fiscal impact.

Attachment(s):

None.



Western Riverside Council of Governments Technical Advisory Committee

Staff Report

Subject: Cal Cities Activities Update
Contact: Erin Sasse, Regional Public Affairs Manager, Cal Cities, esasse@cacities.org, (951) 321-0771
Date: October 21, 2021

Requested Action(s):

1. Receive and file.
-

Purpose:

The purpose of this item is to provide an update of activities undertaken by Cal Cities.

Background:

The League of California Cities has been shaping the Golden State's political landscape since the association was founded in 1898. It defends and expands local control through advocacy efforts in the Legislature, at the ballot box, in the courts, and through strategic outreach that informs and educates the public, policymakers, and opinion leaders. Cal Cities also offers education and training programs designed to teach city officials about new developments in their field and exchange solutions to common challenges facing their cities.

An update on legislation of interest to Cal Cities members is provided as Attachment 1.

Prior Action(s):

October 4, 2021: The Executive Committee received and filed.

Fiscal Impact:

This item is for informational purposes only; therefore, there is no fiscal impact.

Attachment(s):

[Attachment 1 - Final Legislative Session Wrap Up](#)



CHAPTERED LEGISLATION WE SUPPORTED

[SB 60 \(Glazer\)](#) Residential short-term rental ordinances: health or safety infractions: maximum fines. This measure would allow cities to impose a fine of up to \$5,000 for public health and safety violations of a short-term rental ordinance.

[AB 361 \(Rivas, Robert\)](#) Open Meetings. Local Agencies. Teleconferences. This measure would allow local agencies to meet remotely during declared state emergencies to ensure continued delivery of critical public services. Furthermore, the public does not have to submit public comments in advance.

[SB 619 \(Laird\)](#) Organic waste: reduction regulations: local jurisdiction compliance. This measure would give local governments an optional pathway to compliance of SB 1383 without the fear of penalty for one year.

[AB 61 \(Gabriel\)](#) Business pandemic relief. / **[SB 314 \(Wiener\)](#)** Alcoholic Beverages. / **[SB 389 \(Dodd\)](#)** Alcoholic beverages: retails on-sale license: off-sale privileges. These measures would make permanent some of the COVID-19 emergency provisions that allowed for outdoor dining and alcohol to-go beverages.

[AB 43 \(Friedman\)](#) Traffic safety. This measure would allow cities greater flexibility when calculating speed limits along the most dangerous sections of roadway.

[AB 773 \(Nazarian\)](#) Street closures and designations. This measure would authorize cities to adopt a rule or regulation by ordinance to designate a local street within its jurisdiction as a slow street.

[AB 14 \(Aguiar-Curry\)](#) and **[SB 4 \(Gonzalez\)](#)** Communications: California Advanced Services Fund. The two measures make important updates to the California Advanced Services Fund surcharge program.

CHAPTERED LEGISLATION WE OPPOSED

[SB 8 \(Skinner\)](#) Housing Crisis Act of 2019. This measure would extend the sunset date for the Housing Crisis Act of 2019 from 2025 to 2030. The Housing Crisis Act declared a statewide housing crisis and froze nearly all development-related fees once a developer submits a “preliminary” application, including essential project specific fees.

[SB 9 \(Atkins\)](#) Housing Development Approvals.

This measure would require a local government to ministerially approve a housing development containing two residential units in single-family zones. Additionally, this measure would require local governments to ministerially approve urban lot split.

[AB 215 \(Chiu\)](#) Planning and Zoning Law: housing element: violations.

This measure would add a new step in the housing element certification process that requires a minimum 40 additional days of time, create a new three-year statute of limitations for any action brought pursuant to the AB 72 enforcement process, and would allow HCD to appoint or contract with other legal counsel to represent HCD when the Attorney General declines to represent HCD in an AB 72 enforcement action

[AB 602 \(Grayson\)](#) Development fees: impact fee nexus study.

This measure would make significant changes to laws governing local development impact fee programs and create new state and local costs.

[SB 2 \(Bradford\)](#) Peace Officers. Certification. Civil Rights.

This measure would establish new standards and processes for decertifying peace officers and would eliminate the application of certain governmental immunities and allow wrongful death actions under the Tom Bane Civil Rights Act.

[SB 278 \(Leyva\)](#) Public Employees' Retirement System. Disallowed Compensation/ Benefits Adjustments.

This measure would require public agencies and schools to directly pay retirees and/or their beneficiaries disallowed retirement benefits using general fund and Proposition 98 dollars.

[AB 970 \(McCarty\)](#) Planning and Zoning. Permit Applications of Electric Vehicle Charging Stations.

This measure would require an application to install an electric vehicle charging station to be deemed complete within five business days and deemed approved 20 business days after the application was deemed complete.

LEGISLATION WE OPPOSED AND WAS VETOED

[SB 556 \(Dodd\)](#) Street Light Poles, Traffic Signal Poles, Utility Poles, and Support Structures Attachments.

This measure would implement Federal Communications Commission's adopted regulations on wireless services deployment into state law while they continue to be contested.

[AB 339 \(Lee\)](#) Local government: open and public meetings.

This measure requires jurisdictions over 250,000 to provide in-person and teleconference options for the public to participate in meetings.

LEGISLATION WE SUPPORTED THAT WAS VETOED

[SB 792 \(Glazer\)](#) Sales and use tax: returns: online transactions: local jurisdiction schedule.

This measure would, beginning on or after January 1, 2022, require retailers that have annual online sales that exceed \$50 million in the previous calendar year to report gross receipts of online sales for each local jurisdiction where it shipped or delivered a product.



Western Riverside Council of Governments Technical Advisory Committee

Staff Report

Subject: West Virginia University Vocation Integrated Cost Estimation for Maintenance and Repair of Alternative Fuel Vehicles

Contact: Taylor York, Consultant, Kearns and West, tyork@kearnswest.com, (951) 405-3405

Date: October 21, 2021

Requested Action(s):

1. Receive and file.

Purpose:

The purpose of this item is to provide information on WRCOG's partnership with the West Virginia University's Center for Alternative Fuels to complete a maintenance study on alternative fuel vehicles (AFV).

Background:

In early 2020, WRCOG partnered with West Virginia University's (WVU) Center for Alternative Fuels, Engines and Emissions (CAFEE), and Clean Cities Coalitions from the regions of Coachella Valley and West Virginia to jointly propose a study that will estimate maintenance costs of heavy- and medium-duty vehicles fueled by alternative fuels and compare them to a baseline diesel vehicle. This project is titled Vocation Integrated Cost Estimation for Maintenance and Repair of Alternative Fuel Vehicles (Study), and proposes to include fuels such as natural gas, propane, electric, and high biodiesel blends. The Study will specifically address medium- and heavy-duty vehicles operating in urban delivery vocations, port drayage, school buses, refuse trucks and transit bus vocations. Funding was awarded through a U.S. Department of Energy grant (DE-FOA-0002197). Partnership between WVU CAFEE and the Clean Cities Coalitions is vital for the Study, as Coalitions will lead engagement and data collection from their well-established fleet and industry network.

Project Scope

WRCOG will support WVU CAFEE by conducting outreach to fleet operators in the Western Riverside County subregion, leveraging the network of relationships and fleet contacts established through the activities of the Western Riverside County Clean Cities Coalition and other programs. Coalition staff will work closely with WVU CAFEE to determine the most appropriate form for recording this data.

This Study aims to categorize AFV maintenance costs based on different components of the vehicle, namely: a) engine, b) transmissions, c) fuel system, d) brake system, and e) emissions control system and associated sensors. Costs will be further classified by the type of maintenance, specifically: a) periodic, b) preventative, and c) repair or corrective maintenance costs.

Following the data collection phase, WVU CAFEE will begin model development activity to summarize and study collected data. During this phase, WRCOG and other project subcontractors will take part in bi-monthly project team meetings to review the model development activities. Meetings will be conducted virtually. Following the meetings, WRCOG and other project subcontractors will provide their experience of AFV deployment in their respective regions to assess the validity of the maintenance cost projections developed by WVU CAFEE. The Study proposal includes subsequent phases to further application of model results, to be negotiated at a later date, but to include further outreach work and participation on a project advisory committee organized by WVU CAFEE.

Project Justification

The Department of Energy currently provides a suite of valuable tools to help fleets determine cost savings provided from the adoption of AFV. However, because many of these tools are built using generalized assumptions and calculations, they are only a first step in a complex decision-making process. Results of the Study will provide valuable insight on actual maintenance costs incurred by fleets, helping to create and easily demonstrate business case for public and private fleets to adopt AFV.

In general, fleets in California tend to be early adopters of new alternative fuel technologies and have been operating these vehicles for a significant amount of time. The Western Riverside subregion is no exception, with municipal fleets, transit agencies, local waste haulers, and others having deep experience with maintaining these vehicles. This makes the subregion well positioned to share data for this project, which may eventually lead to technology development and improvement, development of best fleet practices, and local findings to address vehicle deployment and maintenance cost needs.

Project Budget

The project is organized into three funding rounds. The scope and budget contained in this report addresses the first round of funding, with a reimbursable budget of \$54,167, and a cost share of \$28,846. Cost share can be satisfied using in-kind methods such as WRCOG staff or contractor time.

Two more subsequent funding rounds are proposed, each with a reimbursable budget of \$54,176, and a cost share of \$23,077. If all funding rounds are implemented, the total reimbursable contract value of the project over three years will be \$162,501, with a total cost share of \$75,000.

Project Timeline

The project is proposed to occur over a three-year period, with an ultimate end date of November 2023. The scope proposal for the first round of the project is scheduled through December 2021. The project team is exploring opportunities to extend the due date of the first round into 2022 to ensure timely completion of project deliverables. The project proposal identifies two additional rounds of funding to support similar scopes of work through 2023.

Prior Action(s):

None.

Fiscal Impact:

Approval of this agreement will result in additional revenues of \$54,167 annually for three years to

WRCOG.

Attachment(s):

None.



Western Riverside Council of Governments Technical Advisory Committee

Staff Report

Subject: The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 Funding Update
Contact: Christopher Gray, Deputy Executive Director, cgray@wrcog.us, (951) 405-6710
Date: October 21, 2021

Requested Action(s):

1. Receive and file.

Purpose:

The purpose of this item is to provide an update on funding provided by the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARPA).

Background:

H.R. 1319, the American Rescue Plan Act, is a historic Act signed into law on March 11, 2021, delivering \$65 billion of direct and flexible aid to cities and towns across the nation, including \$8 billion for cities here in California. Cal Cities worked hard alongside city leaders and local government associations across the country to secure this much-needed relief for our communities.

Counties and cities with populations greater than 50,000 will receive a direct allocation. Estimated allocations for all of the Cities in California meeting this threshold are provided as Attachment 1. Cities with populations less than 50,000 will receive an allocation through the State. Those estimates are provided in Attachment 2.

WRCOG has requested that Riverside County, the City of Riverside, and the City of Perris provide presentations regarding how they plan on allocating these funds to various efforts.

Prior Action(s):

None.

Fiscal Impact:

This item is for informational purposes only; therefore, there is no fiscal impact.

Attachment(s):

[Attachment 1- Large city ARPA allocation](#)
[Attachment 2- Small City ARPA Allocation](#)

Attachment 1

Funding Allocation for California
Cities With Population More than
50,000

U.S. Treasury Allocation for California Metropolitan Cities

City	Allocation
Alameda	\$ 28,679,908
Alhambra	21,848,669
Aliso Viejo	5,678,106
Anaheim	106,630,239
Antioch	21,550,900
Apple Valley	14,883,978
Arcadia	8,864,856
Bakersfield	94,517,089
Baldwin Park	22,917,903
Beaumont	7,306,318
Bellflower	21,457,498
Berkeley	66,646,289
Brentwood	6,923,339
Buena Park	19,622,863
Burbank	25,547,081
Camarillo	8,962,170
Carlsbad	12,615,746
Carson	17,776,763
Cathedral City	15,572,693
Cerritos	6,271,600
Chico	22,118,301
Chino	14,978,541
Chino Hills	9,956,344
Chula Vista	57,535,251
Citrus Heights	15,676,972
Clovis	17,291,175
Colton	14,881,400
Compton	34,425,003
Concord	27,040,883
Corcoran	5,395,558
Corona	29,158,725
Costa Mesa	26,481,513
Cupertino	9,694,773
Daly City	25,255,343
Davis	19,730,600
Delano	14,030,801
Diamond Bar	6,830,947
Downey	25,438,407
Dublin	7,088,512
Eastvale	7,360,219
El Cajon	30,399,751
El Centro	14,095,734
El Monte	42,556,782
El Paso de Robles	5,500,413
Elk Grove	21,944,605
Encinitas	8,142,344
Escondido	38,808,509
Fairfield	19,805,577
Folsom	8,375,645
Fontana	50,257,113
Fountain Valley	7,952,893
Fremont	44,211,563

City	Allocation
Fresno	170,808,029
Fullerton	32,665,301
Garden Grove	48,374,570
Gardena	15,002,061
Gilroy	10,964,521
Glendale	43,521,005
Glendora	7,525,063
Goleta	5,933,990
Hanford	13,077,740
Hawthorne	30,521,654
Hayward	38,465,994
Hemet	21,674,344
Hesperia	23,403,687
Highland	14,895,107
Huntington Beach	29,606,925
Huntington Park	27,952,355
Indio	20,425,061
Inglewood	31,755,095
Irvine	56,433,217
Jurupa Valley	28,077,013
La Habra	15,828,149
La Mesa	10,826,584
Laguna Niguel	8,821,454
Lake Elsinore	14,967,198
Lake Forest	12,774,385
Lakewood	11,309,045
Lancaster	36,340,561
Livermore	9,734,726
Lodi	15,764,793
Lompoc	12,908,901
Long Beach	135,753,078
Los Angeles	1,278,900,928
Lynwood	24,446,961
Madera	23,070,374
Manteca	13,928,377
Marysville	3,078,479
Menifee	13,213,674
Merced	27,427,882
Milpitas	16,736,815
Mission Viejo	9,829,437
Modesto	45,897,056
Montebello	16,764,058
Monterey	6,510,461
Monterey Park	15,043,184
Moreno Valley	48,481,233
Mountain View	15,679,080
Murrieta	16,463,101
Napa	15,118,910
National City	18,010,907
Newport Beach	10,141,272
Norwalk	28,046,746
Novato	9,112,951

City	Allocation
Oakland	188,081,700
Oceanside	32,346,514
Ontario	45,609,291
Orange	28,018,188
Oxnard	59,540,662
Palm Desert	9,983,052
Palm Springs	10,820,822
Palmdale	35,445,019
Palo Alto	13,735,071
Paradise	2,678,454
Paramount	18,895,185
Pasadena	52,625,975
Perris	22,171,505
Petaluma	8,303,531
Pico Rivera	14,772,455
Pittsburg	16,290,477
Placentia	9,083,054
Pleasanton	8,595,930
Pomona	45,366,413
Porterville	19,984,717
Poway	6,079,158
Rancho Cordova	15,588,333
Rancho Cucamonga	26,835,530
Rancho Santa Margarita	4,720,888
Redding	18,693,433
Redlands	11,508,106
Redondo Beach	6,801,080
Redwood City	18,483,063
Rialto	29,373,105
Richmond	27,740,723
Riverside	73,535,189
Rocklin	7,039,025
Rosemead	17,878,653
Roseville	17,644,859
Sacramento	112,313,331
Salinas	51,567,313
San Bernardino	77,656,407
San Buenaventura	16,321,147
San Clemente	7,491,798
San Diego	299,714,755
San Francisco	453,586,783
San Jose	212,280,152
San Leandro	18,639,484
San Luis Obispo	13,564,467
San Marcos	18,279,146
San Mateo	19,274,312
San Rafael	16,088,886
San Ramon	8,115,425
Santa Ana	128,360,813
Santa Barbara	21,837,523
Santa Clara	26,231,326
Santa Clarita	35,148,685
Santa Cruz	14,170,321
Santa Maria	37,190,243

City	Allocation
Santa Monica	28,570,125
Santa Rosa	34,637,465
Santee	7,325,525
Seaside	8,994,311
Simi Valley	15,325,294
South Gate	34,556,473
South San Francisco	12,278,941
Stockton	78,052,072
Sunnyvale	28,154,030
Temecula	14,079,507
Thousand Oaks	14,008,949
Torrance	24,074,277
Tracy	14,798,549
Tulare	18,024,068
Turlock	15,753,190
Tustin	19,380,784
Union City	14,099,826
Upland	15,213,716
Vacaville	12,675,830
Vallejo	25,727,736
Victorville	33,500,666
Visalia	29,364,455
Vista	26,178,395
Walnut Creek	8,327,653
Watsonville	18,265,428
West Covina	19,566,027
West Sacramento	11,773,300
Westminster	23,690,019
Whittier	16,360,631
Woodland	11,114,656
Yorba Linda	6,205,112
Yuba City	15,615,517
Yucaipa	8,017,860
Total - Metropolitan Cities	\$ 7,004,519,561

Attachment 2

Funding Allocation for California
Cities With Population Less than
50,000

Estimated Small City Allocations
Non-Entitlement Units of Local Governments (NEU)

Total Allocation	\$1,218,261,277
Total Small Cities Population	5,092,613

City/Town	NEU Recipient ID	2019 Population Estimate ^{1/}	Portion of Population	Estimated Allocation
Adelanto City	CA0001	34,049	0.67%	\$ 8,145,245
Agoura Hills City	CA0002	20,222	0.40%	\$ 4,837,532
Albany City	CA0003	19,696	0.39%	\$ 4,711,702
Alturas City	CA0004	2,563	0.05%	\$ 613,124
Amador City City	CA0005	190	0.00%	\$ 45,452
American Canyon City	CA0006	20,475	0.40%	\$ 4,898,055
Anderson City	CA0007	10,630	0.21%	\$ 2,542,922
Angels City	CA0008	3,956	0.08%	\$ 946,359
Arcata City	CA0009	18,431	0.36%	\$ 4,409,087
Arroyo Grande City	CA0010	17,976	0.35%	\$ 4,300,241
Artesia City	CA0011	16,601	0.33%	\$ 3,971,312
Arvin City	CA0012	21,851	0.43%	\$ 5,227,224
Atascadero City	CA0013	30,075	0.59%	\$ 7,194,579
Atherton Town	CA0014	7,137	0.14%	\$ 1,707,322
Atwater City	CA0015	29,559	0.58%	\$ 7,071,141
Auburn City	CA0016	14,195	0.28%	\$ 3,395,746
Avalon City	CA0017	3,681	0.07%	\$ 880,573
Avenal City	CA0018	13,496	0.27%	\$ 3,228,530
Azusa City	CA0019	49,974	0.98%	\$ 11,954,843
Banning City	CA0020	31,221	0.61%	\$ 7,468,727
Barstow City	CA0021	23,915	0.47%	\$ 5,720,976
Bell City	CA0022	35,521	0.70%	\$ 8,497,378
Bell Gardens City	CA0023	42,012	0.82%	\$ 10,050,163
Belmont City	CA0024	26,941	0.53%	\$ 6,444,860
Belvedere City	CA0025	2,104	0.04%	\$ 503,322
Benicia City	CA0026	28,240	0.55%	\$ 6,755,608
Beverly Hills City	CA0027	33,792	0.66%	\$ 8,083,765
Big Bear Lake City	CA0028	5,279	0.10%	\$ 1,262,849
Biggs City	CA0029	1,879	0.04%	\$ 449,497
Bishop City	CA0030	3,747	0.07%	\$ 896,362
Blue Lake City	CA0031	1,245	0.02%	\$ 297,830
Blythe City	CA0032	19,682	0.39%	\$ 4,708,353
Bradbury City	CA0033	1,070	0.02%	\$ 255,967
Brawley City	CA0034	26,227	0.52%	\$ 6,274,056
Brea City	CA0035	43,255	0.85%	\$ 10,347,515
Brisbane City	CA0036	4,671	0.09%	\$ 1,117,402
Buellton City	CA0037	5,102	0.10%	\$ 1,220,507
Burlingame City	CA0038	30,889	0.61%	\$ 7,389,305

City/Town	NEU Recipient ID	2019 Population Estimate ^{1/}	Portion of Population	Estimated Allocation
Calabasas City	CA0039	23,853	0.47%	\$ 5,706,145
Calexico City	CA0040	39,825	0.78%	\$ 9,526,987
California City City	CA0041	14,198	0.28%	\$ 3,396,463
Calimesa City	CA0042	9,160	0.18%	\$ 2,191,267
Calipatria City	CA0043	7,114	0.14%	\$ 1,701,820
Calistoga City	CA0044	5,247	0.10%	\$ 1,255,194
Campbell City	CA0045	41,793	0.82%	\$ 9,997,774
Canyon Lake City	CA0046	11,280	0.22%	\$ 2,698,416
Capitola City	CA0047	10,010	0.20%	\$ 2,394,605
Carmel-by-the-Sea City	CA0048	3,811	0.07%	\$ 911,672
Carpinteria City	CA0049	13,385	0.26%	\$ 3,201,977
Ceres City	CA0050	48,706	0.96%	\$ 11,651,510
Chowchilla City	CA0051	18,310	0.36%	\$ 4,380,141
Claremont City	CA0052	36,266	0.71%	\$ 8,675,598
Clayton City	CA0053	12,265	0.24%	\$ 2,934,049
Clearlake City	CA0054	15,267	0.30%	\$ 3,652,191
Cloverdale City	CA0055	8,656	0.17%	\$ 2,070,699
Coachella City	CA0056	45,743	0.90%	\$ 10,942,698
Coalinga City	CA0057	17,179	0.34%	\$ 4,109,582
Colfax City	CA0058	2,002	0.04%	\$ 478,921
Colma Town	CA0059	1,489	0.03%	\$ 356,200
Colusa City	CA0060	6,060	0.12%	\$ 1,449,681
Commerce City	CA0061	12,661	0.25%	\$ 3,028,780
Corning City	CA0062	7,710	0.15%	\$ 1,844,396
Coronado City	CA0063	23,731	0.47%	\$ 5,676,960
Corte Madera Town	CA0064	9,751	0.19%	\$ 2,332,646
Cotati City	CA0065	7,410	0.15%	\$ 1,772,630
Covina City	CA0066	47,450	0.93%	\$ 11,351,049
Crescent City City	CA0067	6,787	0.13%	\$ 1,623,595
Cudahy City	CA0068	23,569	0.46%	\$ 5,638,206
Culver City City	CA0069	39,185	0.77%	\$ 9,373,885
Cypress City	CA0070	49,006	0.96%	\$ 11,723,277
Dana Point City	CA0071	33,577	0.66%	\$ 8,032,332
Danville Town	CA0072	44,510	0.87%	\$ 10,647,738
Del Mar City	CA0073	4,319	0.08%	\$ 1,033,197
Del Rey Oaks City	CA0074	1,654	0.03%	\$ 395,672
Desert Hot Springs City	CA0075	28,878	0.57%	\$ 6,908,231
Dinuba City	CA0076	24,461	0.48%	\$ 5,851,591
Dixon City	CA0077	20,698	0.41%	\$ 4,951,402
Dorris City	CA0078	897	0.02%	\$ 214,581
Dos Palos City	CA0079	5,527	0.11%	\$ 1,322,176
Duarte City	CA0080	21,271	0.42%	\$ 5,088,475
Dunsmuir City	CA0081	1,564	0.03%	\$ 374,142
East Palo Alto City	CA0082	29,314	0.58%	\$ 7,012,532
El Cerrito City	CA0083	25,508	0.50%	\$ 6,102,056
El Segundo City	CA0084	16,610	0.33%	\$ 3,973,465

City/Town	NEU Recipient ID	2019 Population Estimate ^{1/}	Portion of Population	Estimated Allocation
Emeryville City	CA0085	12,086	0.24%	\$ 2,891,228
Escalon City	CA0086	7,574	0.15%	\$ 1,811,862
Etna City	CA0087	718	0.01%	\$ 171,761
Eureka City	CA0088	26,710	0.52%	\$ 6,389,600
Exeter City	CA0089	10,485	0.21%	\$ 2,508,235
Fairfax Town	CA0090	7,522	0.15%	\$ 1,799,422
Farmersville City	CA0091	10,703	0.21%	\$ 2,560,385
Ferndale City	CA0092	1,352	0.03%	\$ 323,427
Fillmore City	CA0093	15,870	0.31%	\$ 3,796,441
Firebaugh City	CA0094	8,296	0.16%	\$ 1,984,580
Fort Bragg City	CA0095	7,291	0.14%	\$ 1,744,162
Fort Jones City	CA0096	692	0.01%	\$ 165,541
Fortuna City	CA0097	12,259	0.24%	\$ 2,932,613
Foster City City	CA0098	33,901	0.67%	\$ 8,109,840
Fowler City	CA0099	6,790	0.13%	\$ 1,624,312
Galt City	CA0100	26,536	0.52%	\$ 6,347,975
Gonzales City	CA0101	8,306	0.16%	\$ 1,986,972
Grand Terrace City	CA0102	12,584	0.25%	\$ 3,010,360
Grass Valley City	CA0103	12,817	0.25%	\$ 3,066,099
Greenfield City	CA0104	17,516	0.34%	\$ 4,190,200
Gridley City	CA0105	7,246	0.14%	\$ 1,733,397
Grover Beach City	CA0106	13,459	0.26%	\$ 3,219,679
Guadalupe City	CA0107	7,783	0.15%	\$ 1,861,859
Gustine City	CA0108	5,882	0.12%	\$ 1,407,099
Half Moon Bay City	CA0109	12,932	0.25%	\$ 3,093,609
Hawaiian Gardens City	CA0110	14,159	0.28%	\$ 3,387,134
Healdsburg City	CA0111	11,845	0.23%	\$ 2,833,576
Hercules City	CA0112	26,276	0.52%	\$ 6,285,778
Hermosa Beach City	CA0113	19,320	0.38%	\$ 4,621,755
Hidden Hills City	CA0114	1,890	0.04%	\$ 452,128
Hillsborough Town	CA0115	11,387	0.22%	\$ 2,724,012
Hollister City	CA0116	40,740	0.80%	\$ 9,745,874
Holtville City	CA0117	6,621	0.13%	\$ 1,583,884
Hughson City	CA0118	7,565	0.15%	\$ 1,809,709
Huron City	CA0119	7,281	0.14%	\$ 1,741,770
Imperial City	CA0120	18,120	0.36%	\$ 4,334,689
Imperial Beach City	CA0121	27,440	0.54%	\$ 6,564,231
Indian Wells City	CA0122	5,470	0.11%	\$ 1,308,540
Industry City	CA0123	202	0.00%	\$ 48,323
Ione City	CA0124	8,568	0.17%	\$ 2,049,648
Irwindale City	CA0125	1,446	0.03%	\$ 345,914
Isleton City	CA0126	844	0.02%	\$ 201,903
Jackson City	CA0127	4,840	0.10%	\$ 1,157,831
Kerman City	CA0128	15,282	0.30%	\$ 3,655,779
King City City	CA0129	14,077	0.28%	\$ 3,367,518
Kingsburg City	CA0130	12,108	0.24%	\$ 2,896,491

City/Town	NEU Recipient ID	2019 Population Estimate ^{1/}	Portion of Population	Estimated Allocation
La Cañada Flintridge City	CA0131	20,009	0.39%	\$ 4,786,578
Lafayette City	CA0132	26,638	0.52%	\$ 6,372,376
Laguna Beach City	CA0133	22,827	0.45%	\$ 5,460,704
Laguna Hills City	CA0134	31,207	0.61%	\$ 7,465,378
Laguna Woods City	CA0135	15,850	0.31%	\$ 3,791,657
La Habra Heights City	CA0136	6,492	0.13%	\$ 1,553,024
Lakeport City	CA0137	5,006	0.10%	\$ 1,197,542
La Mirada City	CA0138	48,183	0.95%	\$ 11,526,398
La Palma City	CA0139	15,428	0.30%	\$ 3,690,706
La Puente City	CA0140	39,614	0.78%	\$ 9,476,511
La Quinta City	CA0141	41,748	0.82%	\$ 9,987,009
Larkspur City	CA0142	12,254	0.24%	\$ 2,931,417
Lathrop City	CA0143	24,483	0.48%	\$ 5,856,854
La Verne City	CA0144	31,974	0.63%	\$ 7,648,860
Lawndale City	CA0145	32,389	0.64%	\$ 7,748,137
Lemon Grove City	CA0146	26,811	0.53%	\$ 6,413,761
Lemoore City	CA0147	26,725	0.52%	\$ 6,393,188
Lincoln City	CA0148	48,275	0.95%	\$ 11,548,406
Lindsay City	CA0149	13,463	0.26%	\$ 3,220,636
Live Oak City	CA0150	8,912	0.17%	\$ 2,131,940
Livingston City	CA0151	14,896	0.29%	\$ 3,563,440
Loma Linda City	CA0152	24,482	0.48%	\$ 5,856,615
Lomita City	CA0153	20,320	0.40%	\$ 4,860,976
Loomis Town	CA0154	6,866	0.13%	\$ 1,642,493
Los Alamitos City	CA0155	11,399	0.22%	\$ 2,726,883
Los Altos City	CA0156	30,089	0.59%	\$ 7,197,928
Los Altos Hills Town	CA0157	8,423	0.17%	\$ 2,014,961
Los Banos City	CA0158	41,036	0.81%	\$ 9,816,683
Los Gatos Town	CA0159	30,222	0.59%	\$ 7,229,745
Loyalton City	CA0160	702	0.01%	\$ 167,933
McFarland City	CA0161	15,506	0.30%	\$ 3,709,365
Malibu City	CA0162	11,820	0.23%	\$ 2,827,595
Mammoth Lakes Town	CA0163	8,235	0.16%	\$ 1,969,987
Manhattan Beach City	CA0164	35,183	0.69%	\$ 8,416,521
Maricopa City	CA0165	1,192	0.02%	\$ 285,152
Marina City	CA0166	22,781	0.45%	\$ 5,449,699
Martinez City	CA0167	38,297	0.75%	\$ 9,161,456
Maywood City	CA0168	26,973	0.53%	\$ 6,452,515
Mendota City	CA0169	11,511	0.23%	\$ 2,753,676
Menlo Park City	CA0170	34,698	0.68%	\$ 8,300,499
Millbrae City	CA0171	22,394	0.44%	\$ 5,357,121
Mill Valley City	CA0172	14,259	0.28%	\$ 3,411,056
Monrovia City	CA0173	36,331	0.71%	\$ 8,691,147
Montague City	CA0174	1,398	0.03%	\$ 334,431
Montclair City	CA0175	40,083	0.79%	\$ 9,588,706
Monte Sereno City	CA0176	3,427	0.07%	\$ 819,811

City/Town	NEU Recipient ID	2019 Population Estimate ^{1/}	Portion of Population	Estimated Allocation
Moorpark City	CA0177	36,375	0.71%	\$ 8,701,673
Moraga Town	CA0178	17,783	0.35%	\$ 4,254,072
Morgan Hill City	CA0179	45,952	0.90%	\$ 10,992,695
Morro Bay City	CA0180	10,543	0.21%	\$ 2,522,110
Mount Shasta City	CA0181	3,274	0.06%	\$ 783,210
Needles City	CA0182	4,976	0.10%	\$ 1,190,365
Nevada City City	CA0183	3,148	0.06%	\$ 753,069
Newark City	CA0184	49,149	0.97%	\$ 11,757,485
Newman City	CA0185	11,784	0.23%	\$ 2,818,983
Norco City	CA0186	26,604	0.52%	\$ 6,364,242
Oakdale City	CA0187	23,596	0.46%	\$ 5,644,665
Oakley City	CA0188	42,543	0.84%	\$ 10,177,190
Ojai City	CA0189	7,470	0.15%	\$ 1,786,983
Orange Cove City	CA0190	10,273	0.20%	\$ 2,457,520
Orinda City	CA0191	19,926	0.39%	\$ 4,766,723
Orland City	CA0192	7,827	0.15%	\$ 1,872,385
Oroville City	CA0193	20,737	0.41%	\$ 4,960,731
Pacifica City	CA0194	38,546	0.76%	\$ 9,221,023
Pacific Grove City	CA0195	15,413	0.30%	\$ 3,687,117
Palos Verdes Estates City	CA0196	13,273	0.26%	\$ 3,175,184
Parlier City	CA0197	15,618	0.31%	\$ 3,736,158
Patterson City	CA0198	22,524	0.44%	\$ 5,388,220
Piedmont City	CA0199	11,135	0.22%	\$ 2,663,729
Pinole City	CA0200	19,250	0.38%	\$ 4,605,009
Pismo Beach City	CA0201	8,168	0.16%	\$ 1,953,959
Placerville City	CA0202	11,175	0.22%	\$ 2,673,298
Pleasant Hill City	CA0203	34,839	0.68%	\$ 8,334,229
Plymouth City	CA0204	1,076	0.02%	\$ 257,402
Point Arena City	CA0205	449	0.01%	\$ 107,410
Port Hueneme City	CA0206	21,926	0.43%	\$ 5,245,165
Portola City	CA0207	1,930	0.04%	\$ 461,697
Portola Valley Town	CA0208	4,568	0.09%	\$ 1,092,763
Rancho Mirage City	CA0209	18,528	0.36%	\$ 4,432,291
Rancho Palos Verdes City	CA0210	41,530	0.82%	\$ 9,934,859
Red Bluff City	CA0211	14,539	0.29%	\$ 3,478,038
Reedley City	CA0212	25,658	0.50%	\$ 6,137,939
Ridgecrest City	CA0213	28,973	0.57%	\$ 6,930,957
Rio Dell City	CA0214	3,349	0.07%	\$ 801,152
Rio Vista City	CA0215	9,718	0.19%	\$ 2,324,752
Ripon City	CA0216	16,386	0.32%	\$ 3,919,879
Riverbank City	CA0217	24,881	0.49%	\$ 5,952,064
Rohnert Park City	CA0218	43,291	0.85%	\$ 10,356,127
Rolling Hills City	CA0219	1,845	0.04%	\$ 441,363
Rolling Hills Estates City	CA0220	8,058	0.16%	\$ 1,927,645
Ross Town	CA0221	2,451	0.05%	\$ 586,331
St. Helena City	CA0222	6,102	0.12%	\$ 1,459,728

City/Town	NEU Recipient ID	2019 Population Estimate ^{1/}	Portion of Population	Estimated Allocation
San Anselmo Town	CA0223	12,476	0.24%	\$ 2,984,524
San Bruno City	CA0224	42,807	0.84%	\$ 10,240,344
San Carlos City	CA0225	30,185	0.59%	\$ 7,220,894
Sand City City	CA0226	399	0.01%	\$ 95,449
San Dimas City	CA0227	33,621	0.66%	\$ 8,042,858
San Fernando City	CA0228	24,322	0.48%	\$ 5,818,339
San Gabriel City	CA0229	39,899	0.78%	\$ 9,544,689
Sanger City	CA0230	25,339	0.50%	\$ 6,061,627
San Jacinto City	CA0231	49,215	0.97%	\$ 11,773,274
San Joaquin City	CA0232	4,021	0.08%	\$ 961,909
San Juan Bautista City	CA0233	2,104	0.04%	\$ 503,322
San Juan Capistrano City	CA0234	35,911	0.71%	\$ 8,590,675
San Marino City	CA0235	13,048	0.26%	\$ 3,121,359
San Pablo City	CA0236	30,990	0.61%	\$ 7,413,467
Santa Fe Springs City	CA0237	17,630	0.35%	\$ 4,217,471
Santa Paula City	CA0238	29,806	0.59%	\$ 7,130,229
Saratoga City	CA0239	30,153	0.59%	\$ 7,213,239
Sausalito City	CA0240	7,068	0.14%	\$ 1,690,816
Scotts Valley City	CA0241	11,757	0.23%	\$ 2,812,524
Seal Beach City	CA0242	23,896	0.47%	\$ 5,716,431
Sebastopol City	CA0243	7,674	0.15%	\$ 1,835,784
Selma City	CA0244	24,825	0.49%	\$ 5,938,668
Shafter City	CA0245	20,401	0.40%	\$ 4,880,353
Shasta Lake City	CA0246	10,413	0.20%	\$ 2,491,011
Sierra Madre City	CA0247	10,793	0.21%	\$ 2,581,915
Signal Hill City	CA0248	11,421	0.22%	\$ 2,732,146
Solana Beach City	CA0249	13,296	0.26%	\$ 3,180,686
Soledad City	CA0250	25,999	0.51%	\$ 6,219,513
Solvang City	CA0251	5,838	0.11%	\$ 1,396,574
Sonoma City	CA0252	11,024	0.22%	\$ 2,637,175
Sonora City	CA0253	4,864	0.10%	\$ 1,163,572
South El Monte City	CA0254	20,574	0.40%	\$ 4,921,738
South Lake Tahoe City	CA0255	22,197	0.44%	\$ 5,309,994
South Pasadena City	CA0256	25,329	0.50%	\$ 6,059,235
Stanton City	CA0257	38,139	0.75%	\$ 9,123,659
Suisun City City	CA0258	29,663	0.58%	\$ 7,096,020
Susanville City	CA0259	15,010	0.29%	\$ 3,590,711
Sutter Creek City	CA0260	2,622	0.05%	\$ 627,238
Taft City	CA0261	9,272	0.18%	\$ 2,218,059
Tehachapi City	CA0262	13,011	0.26%	\$ 3,112,508
Tehama City	CA0263	373	0.01%	\$ 89,230
Temple City City	CA0264	35,811	0.70%	\$ 8,566,752
Tiburon Town	CA0265	9,084	0.18%	\$ 2,173,086
Trinidad City	CA0266	355	0.01%	\$ 84,924
Truckee Town	CA0267	16,735	0.33%	\$ 4,003,368
Tulelake City	CA0268	978	0.02%	\$ 233,958

City/Town	NEU Recipient ID	2019 Population Estimate ^{1/}	Portion of Population	Estimated Allocation
Twentynine Palms City	CA0269	26,073	0.51%	\$ 6,237,216
Ukiah City	CA0270	15,995	0.31%	\$ 3,826,344
Vernon City	CA0271	110	0.00%	\$ 26,314
Villa Park City	CA0272	5,790	0.11%	\$ 1,385,091
Walnut City	CA0273	29,685	0.58%	\$ 7,101,283
Wasco City	CA0274	28,710	0.56%	\$ 6,868,042
Waterford City	CA0275	8,962	0.18%	\$ 2,143,901
Weed City	CA0276	2,725	0.05%	\$ 651,878
West Hollywood City	CA0277	36,475	0.72%	\$ 8,725,595
Westlake Village City	CA0278	8,217	0.16%	\$ 1,965,681
Westmorland City	CA0279	2,254	0.04%	\$ 539,205
Wheatland City	CA0280	3,873	0.08%	\$ 926,504
Wildomar City	CA0281	37,229	0.73%	\$ 8,905,968
Williams City	CA0282	5,408	0.11%	\$ 1,293,709
Willits City	CA0283	4,890	0.10%	\$ 1,169,792
Willows City	CA0284	6,072	0.12%	\$ 1,452,551
Windsor Town	CA0285	27,128	0.53%	\$ 6,489,594
Winters City	CA0286	7,315	0.14%	\$ 1,749,903
Woodlake City	CA0287	7,658	0.15%	\$ 1,831,956
Woodside Town	CA0288	5,458	0.11%	\$ 1,305,670
Yountville City	CA0289	2,966	0.06%	\$ 709,530
Yreka City	CA0290	7,518	0.15%	\$ 1,798,465
Yucca Valley Town	CA0291	21,777	0.43%	\$ 5,209,521

1/**Source:** U.S. Treasury: <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/state-and-local-fiscal-recovery-fund/non-entitlement-units>